UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,145	12/17/2004	Kiyomasa Segawa	114216-016	9949
43793 7590 04/06/2007 EVEREST INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP P. O. BOX 708 NORTHBROOK, IL 60065			EXAMINER	
			BRITTAIN, JAMES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PI	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTI	HS	04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,145	SEGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James R. Brittain	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 £	December 2006					
	s action is non-final.					
· <u>=</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,13,16,17 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,13,16,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		and to by the Everniner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 December 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Burea	•	ed III tills National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ad				
dec the attached detailed office action for a list	of the defined copies not receive	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/518,145

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silberman (US 2060830) in view of Watanabe et al. (US 5023394).

Silberman (figures 8-12) teaches slide fastener structure including clamped on legs 34 of the metal fastener elements 35, a tapes 27, 28 that are formed by being folded over a cord 32 and stitched by sewing 36. The difference is that the tape isn't plated. However, Watanabe et al. (figure 2) teaches plating the fibers of a woven tape with a material so that the tape acts as an electromagnetic shield and the teeth of the zipper are also metal. As electromagnetic shielding is important for many products, it would have been obvious to modify the fastener of Silberman so that the tape is plated and the teeth are metal in view of Watanabe et al. teaching that it is desirable to provide such structure. As to claim 13, the cord 32 is at the edge of the flap 29, which defines a support piece as shown in figure 11.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silberman (US 2060830) in view of Watanabe et al. (US 5023394) as applied to claim 5 above and further in view of Masataka (JP 2001-204515).

Further modification of the tape of Silberman so that it is coated by a fire resistant material would have been obvious in view of Masataka teaching that it is desirable to make the tape fire resistant and applicant was given Official Notice in the prior office action that a fire

Application/Control Number: 10/518,145

Art Unit: 3677

resistant coating is well known as the equivalent of making the fabric of fire resistant material.

This statement of common knowledge statement is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the statement of official notice. The metallic shielding materials of Watanabe et al. would also inherently provide some fire resistance.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 26, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the new limitation directed to the location of the sewing thread as "provided at a position spaced apart from the legs" (claim 5, lines 7-8) as presenting patentable subject matter is unpersuasive because the new reference to Silberman teaches such a location of the sewing thread 36.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706:07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is (571) 272-7065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677